



concentration of sodium naphthalene in the range of 1–2. (2) A small amount of THF dimer was observed by mass spectrometry (m/e 142) when sodium naphtha-

lene (37.5 mmol) reacted with chlorobenzene (18.75 mmol) at 27° in THF. (3) The product distribution before and after hydrolysis is identical (Tables I and II).

It thus appears that the reaction is proceeding by a radical mechanism. From our analysis of products from D₂O treatment, it would appear that any combination mechanism would have to be predominately of radical nature. This interpretation agrees with the data of Müller and Roscheisen on reactions of disodium tetraphenylethylene with bromobenzene.⁹ Furthermore, our product distributions for the reaction of sodium naphthalene with either bromobenzene or iodobenzene were consistent with Hey and coworkers¹⁰ who report that the isomer distributions for the reaction of benzoyl peroxides with biphenyl yielded 49% *o*-, 23% *m*-, and 29% *p*-terphenyl. We thus believe that a radical mechanism best explains the observed products. Others have generated phenyl radical in the presence of aromatic solvents and have observed the same product distributions that we have isolated (biphenyl, isomeric terphenyl).^{10–12}

A two-step mechanism of the type shown in eq 9, 10, 11, and 12 has also been reported by several investigators.^{11,12}

Acknowledgment. The authors are pleased to acknowledge informative discussions with Professor H. J. Harwood. The authors also wish to thank The Firestone Tire & Rubber Co. for permission to publish this work.

(9) E. Müller and R. Roscheisen, *Chem. Ber.*, **91**, 1106 (1958).

(10) J. I. G. Dadogan, D. H. Hey, and G. H. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 794 (1964). For a more extensive compilation, see: (a) C. Walling, "Free Radicals in Solution," Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1956, p 484; (b) E. S. Gould, "Mechanism and Structure in Organic Chemistry," Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1959, p 722.

(11) W. A. Pryor, "Free Radicals," McGraw-Hill, New York, N. Y., 1966, pp 256–257.

(12) D. H. Hey, M. J. Perkins, and G. H. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 3412 (1964).

Tai Chun Cheng,* Lisa Headley, Adel F. Halasa

Central Research Laboratory
The Firestone Tire & Rubber Company
Akron, Ohio 44317

Received September 25, 1970

The Mechanism of Coenzyme B₁₂ Action in Dioldehydrase

Sir:

Recently,¹ a mechanism of action of coenzyme B₁₂ in dioldehydrase² was postulated on the basis of extensive model studies, in which the corrin Co(I) nucleophile derived from coenzyme B₁₂³ functions as the actual catalytic intermediate. In this communication we demonstrate the validity of this mechanistic proposition on the basis of additional model reactions and parallel experiments with the reacting dioldehydrase holoenzyme of *Aerobacter aerogenes*.⁴

(1) G. N. Schrauzer and J. W. Sibert, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **92**, 1022 (1970).

(2) Dioldehydrase in DL-1,2-propanediol hydrolyase, E.C. 4.2.1.28.

(3) Coenzyme B₁₂ is α -(5,6-dimethylbenzimidazolyl)-Co-5'-deoxyadenosylcobamide.

(4) Purified dioldehydrase was obtained from Dr. R. H. Abeles, Brandeis University, Waltham, Mass., or purchased from Calbiochem, Los Angeles, Calif. The activities of the enzyme from both sources differed, although their qualitative behavior was identical.

the other hand, the results of coenzyme tritium labeling studies¹⁰ can be readily interpreted¹¹ in terms of our mechanism,¹ which is uniquely supported by stoichiometric and catalytic model reactions, as well as by the N₂O inhibition experiments described in this communication.

In applying N₂O as a potential inhibitor to other coenzyme B₁₂ dependent enzyme reactions we have to date been unable to observe inhibiting effects with ribonucleotide reductase from *Lactobacillus leichmannii* and with methylmalonyl-CoA mutase from *Propionibacterium shermanii*.¹² In contrast to dioldehydrase, which is inactivated by oxygen, these enzymes operate aerobically just as well as anaerobically. The absence of an inhibiting effect of N₂O thus does not rule out the possibility that the Co(I) nucleophile is present in the active form of the enzyme; it may be that the active site is merely more protected against oxidation by either O₂ or N₂O.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported by Grant No. GP 12324 from the National Science Foundation.

(10) P. A. Frey, M. K. Essenberg, R. H. Abeles, and S. S. Kerwar, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **92**, 4488 (1970).

(11) (a) The principal objection raised¹⁰ against our mechanism¹ is derived from the results of tritium labeling experiments. The tritium incorporation from labeled substrate into the enzyme-bound coenzyme increases the radioactivity of the coenzyme 200–700 times compared to the activity of product propionaldehyde in the reaction solution. This rules out equilibration between product propionaldehyde in the solution with enzyme-bound coenzyme under the reaction conditions. We assume, however, that the H–T exchange takes place between the enzyme-bound coenzyme and newly formed propionaldehyde at the active site, prior to equilibration with propionaldehyde outside the enzyme.

(12) Inhibition experiments were performed by Dr. D. Jacobsen (Scripps Clinic and Research Foundation, La Jolla, Calif.) and Dr. J. D. Brodie, State University of New York at Buffalo.

Gerhard N. Schrauzer,* Robert J. Holland, Jane A. Seck

Department of Chemistry
The University of California at San Diego
Revelle College, La Jolla, California 92037

Received December 23, 1970

Hydridocobaloximes

Sir:

Hydridocobaloximes, H–Co(dm_g)₂B (dm_g = dimethylglyoximate monoanion, B = axial base), are the Bronsted acids corresponding to the cobaloxime(I) nucleophiles, and are postulated intermediates in certain cobaloxime reactions under neutral reducing conditions.^{1–4} In previous attempts at synthesis, only the corresponding cobaloxime(II) derivatives were obtained, suggesting that hydridocobaloximes are inherently unstable species. We have now found that the stability of hydridocobaloximes is sensitively influenced by the nature of the axial base component B. Whereas hydridocobaloximes in which the axial ligands are predominantly σ-bonding nitrogen bases are indeed short-lived and difficult to isolate, relatively stable hydrides are formed if the axial ligands are π-bonding trialkylphosphines. The hydride H–Co–

(dm_g)₂P(*n*-C₄H₉)₃, **1**, for example, is obtained by reducing Cl–Co(dm_g)₂P(*n*-C₄H₉)₃ with excess NaBH₄ in pH 7 phosphate-buffered 50% (volume) aqueous methanol.⁵ The black, oxygen-sensitive crystals of **1** precipitate out of the reaction solution with methanol of crystallization. *Anal.* Calcd for C₂₀H₄₂N₄O₄PCo·2CH₃OH: C, 47.37; H, 9.05; N, 10.07; P, 5.56; Co, 10.74. Found: C, 47.95; H, 9.65; N, 9.78; P, 5.68; Co, 10.95. The compound loses the methanol on heating *in vacuo* to 75° and starts to decompose with hydrogen evolution at 150°. *Anal.* Calcd for the methanol-free **1**, C₂₀H₄₂N₄O₄PCo: C, 48.77; H, 8.59; N, 11.37; Co, 11.96. Found: C, 48.32; H, 8.21; N, 11.20; Co, 11.32. The hydride **1** is also formed on careful acidification of alkaline solutions of the corresponding cobaloxime(I) nucleophile. Prepared in this fashion, **1** was apparently in our hands in 1965, but was at this time considered to be a cobaloxime(II) derivative.¹ It is now apparent that cobaloximes(II) with P(*n*-C₄H₉)₃ as the axial base disproportionate readily even in neutral solution. Unlike the Co(I) nucleophile, **1** is freely soluble in nonpolar hydrocarbon solvents and may be quantitatively extracted into *n*-hexane or benzene. The pK_a of **1** was estimated to be 10.5 by phase-distribution measurements between 50% aqueous methanol and *n*-hexane and is in agreement with the result of a previous indirect determination.¹ The constitution of **1** is supported by infrared and nmr measurements. In the infrared spectrum (Nujol mull) a band at 2240 cm⁻¹ is assigned to the Co–H stretch; the Co–D stretch in the deuteride occurs at 1680 cm⁻¹. The hydride and deuteride bands disappear upon exposure of the infrared disks to air. Similar bands were found to be absent in the infrared spectra of a variety of cobaloxime(II) and alkylcobaloxime derivatives. In the ¹H nmr spectrum in *n*-hexane a broad signal of relative intensity 1 at δ 6.0 ppm is assigned to the resonance of the cobalt-bound hydrogen, consistent with the polarization H^{δ+}–Co^{δ-} of the cobalt–hydrogen bond. The Co–H signal is absent in the spectrum of the deuteride and disappears on passing air through the nmr sample solution containing the hydride. The signal of the dm_g methyl protons occurs at 1 ppm and coincides with the signals of the tributylphosphine ligand. The anomalously high chemical shift of the dm_g protons indicates a partial negative charge of the Co(dm_g)₂ moiety.

In the reduction of halocobaloximes(III) with NaBH₄ in neutral buffered solution transient blue species are frequently observed, indicating the formation of unstable hydridocobaloximes under these special conditions of reduction. Utilizing the solubility of

(5) In a typical experiment, 5 g of Cl–Co(dm_g)₂P(*n*-C₄H₉)₃ [see G. N. Schrauzer, *Inorg. Syn.*, **11**, 62 (1968)] was suspended in 250 ml of 50% (v/v) aqueous methanol. A total of approximately 15 g of solid primary and tertiary sodium phosphate was added to adjust the pH close to 7. After most of the alkali phosphate dissolved a freshly prepared solution of 1.5 g of NaBH₄ in 25 ml of water was added gradually over a period of 30 min. Occasionally, small amounts of methanol had to be added to reduce excessive foaming. During the last 15 min of NaBH₄ addition the reaction solution was kept anaerobic by a blanket of nitrogen. A nearly black solid precipitates which was collected by filtration under nitrogen gas. The hydride was washed with water to remove inorganic salts and dried *in vacuo* at 75° for 12 hr. The isolated yield was 4.3 g of dry hydride, or 92%, based on cobaloxime starting material. The product slowly oxidizes on contact with air, but may be stored for at least several days in argon-filled ampoules. Decomposition was noted on prolonged storage.

(1) G. N. Schrauzer, R. J. Windgassen, and J. Kohnle, *Chem. Ber.*, **98**, 3324 (1965).

(2) G. N. Schrauzer, and R. J. Windgassen, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **89**, 1999 (1967).

(3) E. N. Sal'nikova and M. L. Khidekel', *Izv. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Khim.*, **1**, 223 (1967).

(4) M. Naumburg, K. N.-V. Duong, and A. Gaudemer, *J. Organometal. Chem.*, **25**, 231 (1970).